

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/602,047	06/24/2003	Carl Pomerantz	POMERANTZ	8144	
75	590 05/18/2004		EXAMINER		
CARL POMERANTZ			HOGE, GARY CHAPMAN		
567 LAKESHO BEACONSFIE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CANADA			3611		
			DATE MAILED: 05/18/200	14	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	-	
Office Action Summary		10/602,047	POMERANTZ, CARL	POMERANTZ, CARL	
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Gary C Hoge	3611		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address -		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. maisons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a oly within the statutory minimum of thi I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te. cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communica BRANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	ition.	
Status					
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on	'			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.			
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits	is is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application	า			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
-	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.			
Applicati	ion Papers	•			
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Examina	er.			
	The drawing(s) filed on 24 June 2003 is/are: a		ected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	1(d).	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	, ,	<i>(</i>		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in A	Application No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have beer	received in this National Stage		
	application from the International Burea				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not	received.		
Attach	t(c)				
Attachmen 1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)		
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No((s)/Mail Date		
Pape	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 or No(s)/Mail Date 9/24/03.	5) Notice of 6 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
.s. Patent and T	rademark Office				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 8-13 are objected to because of the following informalities: The claims have been misnumbered (a claim 7 has been omitted). Therefore, misnumbered claims 8-13 have been renumbered 7-12, respectively.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 8 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no description of an embodiment in which a smooth transition edge is provided between the upper edge region and the side edge region, and in which there is an obtuse intersection between the side edge region and the bottom edge region (claim 8) and in which a smooth transition edge is provided between the side edge region and the bottom edge region of an attachment means panel (claim 12).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 3611

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sy.

Sy discloses a label holding strip comprising a continuous section of plastic material 55 including front and back panels joined integrally along a bottom edge region of the strip and forming an upwardly opening pocket for the removable reception of labels (see Fig. 9), and at least one side edge region at an extreme longitudinal end of the front panel with interconnection of the side edge region and the bottom edge region being substantially blended and smooth whereby a substantially snag-free transitional edge is provided between the bottom edge region and the side edge region (compare Figs. 8 and 9).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sy in view of Hawkins.

Sy discloses the invention substantially as claimed, as set forth above. However, the side edge region disclosed by Sy is generally perpendicular to the bottom edge. Hawkins teaches that it was known in the art to provide a sign holder in which the side edges form an obtuse intersection with the bottom edge. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the side edge region disclosed by Sy intersect the bottom edge at an obtuse angle, as taught by Hawkins, for aesthetic reasons.

Art Unit: 3611

8. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barcom in view of Brinkman et al.

See Fig. 1. Barcom discloses a label holding strip comprising a continuous section of material including front and back panels joined integrally along a bottom edge region of the strip and forming an upwardly opening pocket for the removable reception of labels, an upper front panel edge region parallel to the bottom edge region at least one side edge region at one extreme longitudinal end of the front panel with interconnection of the side edge region and the upper edge region being substantially blended and smooth. However, it is not known what material Barcom contemplates for the construction of the holding strip. Therefore one of ordinary skill in the art must choose a material from those that were already known in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to be suitable for the intended use. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use plastic, because Brinkman et al. discloses that plastic was one of those materials which were known in the art to be suitable for the construction of a label holding strip from which the person of ordinary skill must choose as a matter of choice in design, based on such factors as cost and availability of the materials to the designer.

9. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barcom in view of Brinkman et al., as applied to claim 5, above, and further in view of Sy.

Barcom, as modified, discloses the invention substantially as claimed, as set forth above. However, the blended edge disclosed by Barcom is in the form of an angle, rather than an arc (claim 6), which is a curved edge (claim 7). Sy teaches that it was known in the art to make a blended edge having a curved edge. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in

Art Unit: 3611

the art at the time the invention was made to make the blended edge on the holding strip disclosed by Barcom with a curved edge, as taught by Sy, as an obvious matter of choice in design.

10. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barcom in view of Brinkman et al., as applied to claim 5, above, and further in view of Hawkins.

Barcom, as modified, discloses the invention substantially as claimed, as set forth above. However, the side edge region disclosed by Barcom is generally perpendicular to the bottom edge. Hawkins teaches that it was known in the art to provide a sign holder in which the side edges form an obtuse intersection with the bottom edge. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the side edge region disclosed by Barcom intersect the bottom edge at an obtuse angle, as taught by Hawkins, for aesthetic reasons.

11. Claims 9-11 are is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCormick in view of Brinkman et al.

See Fig. 1. McCormick discloses a label holding strip comprising a continuously extruded section of material including front and back panels joined integrally along a bottom edge region of the strip and forming an upwardly opening pocket for the removable reception of labels, and an attachment means panel joined integrally along the back panel a longitudinal distal edge region on the attachment panel and at least one side edge region at one extreme longitudinal end of the attachment panel with interconnection of the side edge region and the longitudinal distal edge region being substantially blended and smooth (see Fig. 4). However, it is not known what material McCormick contemplates for the construction of the holding strip. Therefore one

Art Unit: 3611

of ordinary skill in the art must choose a material from those that were already known in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to be suitable for the intended use. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use plastic, because Brinkman et al. discloses that plastic was one of those materials which were known in the art to be suitable for the construction of a label holding strip from which the person of ordinary skill must choose as a matter of choice in design, based on such factors as cost and availability of the materials to the designer.

12. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCormick in view of Brinkman et al., as applied to claim 9, above, and further in view of Hawkins.

McCormick, as modified, discloses the invention substantially as claimed, as set forth above. However, the side edge region disclosed by McCormick is generally perpendicular to the bottom edge. Hawkins teaches that it was known in the art to provide a sign holder in which the side edges form an obtuse intersection with the bottom edge. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the side edge region disclosed by McCormick intersect the bottom edge at an obtuse angle, as taught by Hawkins, for aesthetic reasons.

Conclusion

- 13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary C Hoge whose telephone number is (703) 308-3422. The examiner can normally be reached on 5-4-9.

Art Unit: 3611

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on (703) 308-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gary C Hoge Primary Examiner Art Unit 3611

gch